IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

and on behalf of all others similarly situated,)))
Plaintiff,)))
V.) Case No. 4:14-00283-ODS
AMERICAN CENTURY COMPANIES, INC.; AMERICAN CENTURY INVESTMENT)))
MANAGEMENT, INC.; JAMES E. STOWERS, JR.;)
JAMES E. STOWERS, III; JONATHAN S. THOMAS;	
THOMAS A. BROWN; ANDREA C. HALL; DONALD)
H. PRATT; GALE E. SAYERS; M. JEANNINE)
STRANDJORD; TIMOTHY S. WEBSTER;)
WILLIAM M. LYONS; ENRIQUE CHAN; MARK)
KOPINSKI; AND BRIAN BRADY,)
Defendants,))
AMERICAN CENTURY WORLD MUTUAL)
FUNDS, INC., doing business as AMERICAN)
CENTURY INTERNATIONAL DISCOVERY)
FUND,)
Nominal Defendant.)

JOINT MOTION TO STAY ALL PROCEEDINGS PENDING EIGHTH CIRCUIT SEIDL DECISION AND, SUGGESTIONS IN SUPPORT

The parties jointly move the Court for an Order Staying All Proceedings in this action, pending the decision of the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals in the related case of *Laura Seidl v. American Century Companies, Inc.*, No. 14-2796. In support of this motion, the parties jointly state as follows:

1. Prior to filing this derivative action, Plaintiff Nelson Gomes made a litigation demand on nominal defendant American Century World Mutual Funds, Inc. ("ACWMF") by service of a demand letter on its Board of Directors (the "Board"). The Board subsequently

appointed a Special Subcommittee (the "Subcommittee") to evaluate that demand. That Subcommittee then retained the law firm of Shook Hardy & Bacon L.L.P. to assist it in conducting an investigation into the matters alleged by Plaintiff.

- 2. On May 19, 2014 defendants filed a Motion to Stay All Proceedings until the Subcommittee and Board had made their respective determinations and responded to Plaintiff's demand. Plaintiff opposed that motion, but on July 1, 2014 the Court granted the motion and stayed all proceedings. In its Order, the Court stated that the Stay would automatically lift on January 2, 2015, and that the parties would then need to meet and confer and submit a Joint Proposed Scheduling Order.
- 3. Shook Hardy & Bacon, the Subcommittee and the Board all have completed their work, and the Board has responded to Plaintiff's demand. Indeed, on December 23, 2014, Plaintiff's counsel received a copy of the Subcommittee's investigative report and also a letter from Board Chair James Olson denying Plaintiff's demand.
- 4. Since this Court's July 1 Order, significant other developments have occurred. In the July 1 Order, the Court acknowledged that the *Seidl v. American Century Companies, Inc.* case also involves investments by American Century funds in offshore gaming enterprises and that there exists a significant overlap in issues between *Seidl* and the present case. In *Seidl*, in which the Defendants are represented by the same counsel as Defendants herein, the Board similarly rejected a separate litigation demand raised by the Plaintiff in that case, who is represented by the same counsel as Plaintiff herein.
- 5. On July 2, 2014, this Court granted summary judgment in favor of the American Century Defendants in the *Seidl* case, finding no basis to overturn the board's refusal of plaintiff's demand. The Court analyzed the *Seidl* committee's inquiry and the board's decision pursuant to the

principles announced in Boland v. Boland, 31 A.3d 529 (Md. 2011), and determined that the Seidl

committee was independent, acted in good faith, and utilized reasonable procedures and

methodologies to evaluate the claims presented by plaintiff.

6. Plaintiff in the Seidl case has appealed the Court's Order to the Eighth Circuit Court

of Appeals. Although the appeal is fully briefed, it has not yet been scheduled for oral argument. In

the Seidl appeal, plaintiff argues, inter alia, that the Court erred in its application of Boland in

determining that the board had properly exercised its business judgment in refusing plaintiff's

demand and that the American Century Ultra Fund's investment in an offshore gambling company

in 2005-2006 was wrongful as a matter of law.

7. Now that the Board in this case has refused Plaintiff's demand, many similar issues

to those presented in the *Seidl* appeal will be presented here. Like the nominal defendant in *Seidl*,

American Century World Mutual Funds, Inc. is a Maryland corporation, and thus the application of

the Boland decision will be an important part of any further proceedings in this litigation. The

Eighth Circuit's decision in the Seidl appeal will thus at a minimum be instructive in Gomes and

may well have a significant impact on the ultimate disposition of Gomes. Meanwhile, a stay of the

proceedings in *Gomes* pending the Eighth Circuit's decision in *Seidl* would allow the parties and the

Court to avoid the effort and expense of further proceedings that may be substantially affected by

the Eighth Circuit's ruling in Seidl. Accordingly, the parties submit that judicial efficiency would

be advanced for the parties and the Court to await the Eighth Circuit's decision in Seidl, before

proceeding further with Gomes.

Accordingly, the parties jointly move the Court to stay all proceedings herein until the

Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals enters its decision in the related Seidl case.

Dated: January 9th, 2015

3

Respectfully submitted,

Sarah S Burns MO Bar # 56554 SIMMONS HANLEY CONROY LLP One Court Street Alton, IL 62002 (618) 259-2222 (618) 259-6351 (Fax) sburns@simmonsfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

and

Thomas I. Sheridan, III (pro hac vice) SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLP 112 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016-7416 (212) 784-6404 tsheridan@simmonsfirm.com

and

Nicomedes Sy Herrera (pro hac vice) LAW OFFICES OF NICOMEDES SY HERRERA 1074 Harvard Road Piedmont, CA 94610

Attorneys for Plaintiff

BRYAN CAVE LLP

By: /s/ W. Perry Brandt
W. Perry Brandt MO Bar. #28292
1200 Main Street, Suite 3500
Kansas City, MO 64105
(816) 374-3200
(816) 374-3300 (Fax)
perry.brandt@bryancave.com

And

Gordon C. Atkinson (*pro hac vice*) Benjamin H. Kleine (*pro hac vice*) COOLEY LLP 101 California Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5800

Attorneys for Defendants American Century Companies, Inc., American Century Investment Management, Inc., James E. Stowers, Jr., James E. Stowers, III, Jonathan S. Thomas, William M. Lyons, Enrique Chang, Mark Kopinski, and Brian Brady

And

Kurt D. Williams MO Bar # 36957
Nick J. Kurt MO Bar # 52216
BERKOWITZ OLIVER WILLIAMS SHAW &
EISENBRANDT LLP
266 Grand Boulevard, Suite 1200
Kansas City, Missouri 64108
(816) 627-0215
(816) 561-1888 (Fax)
kwilliams@berkowitzoliver.com
nkurth@berkowitzoliver.com

And

Steuart H. Thomsen (pro hac vice) SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 700 Sixth Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20001 (202) 383-0166 (202) 637-3593 (Fax) Steuart.thomsen@sutherland.com

Attorneys for Defendants Thomas A. Brown, Andrea C. Hall, Donald H. Pratt, Gale E. Sayers, M. Jeannine Strandjord, Timothy S. Webster, and Nominal Defendant American Century World Mutual Funds, Inc., doing business as American Century International Discovery Fund

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 9^{th} day of January, 2015, the foregoing was electronically filed using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to all counsel of record.

Attorney for Defendants American Century
Companies, Inc., American Century Global
Investment Management, Inc., James E. Stowers,
Jr., Jonathan S. Thomas, William M. Lyons,
Enrique Chan, Mark Kopinski, and Brian Brady

112944306 v1